

# Implementing geofencing in Swedish cities

Lessons learned and challenges ahead

Victoria Herslöf, Strategist, Transport Department, City of Stockholm Malin Stoldt, Project Manager ITS, Urban Transport Administration, City of Gothenburg



### **#POLIS2022**

# Sustainable growth of our cities - Geofencing in Sweden

### **Smart Urban Traffic zones - demonstrations**





**Innovation Zone Hornsgatan** Speed adaptation of delivery vehicles depending on the amount of pedestrians in the area

### **Digitally issued exemptions for** construction transports

Increased load efficiency for heavy mass transports through speed limitations







Safe construction site exits *Increased safety for vulnerable road users at* constructions site exits



### **Speed adaption at Hornsgatan with Geofencing**



Smart sensors

Traffic safety for pedestrians



6 delivery vehicles, 1 connected loading zone







### **Digitally issued exemptions for construction transports**



1 concrete truck, load capacity class 1



Issued permit



Vibrations, impact on roads and buildings



# Safe construction site exits



Increased safety for cyclists



1 test vehicle



Smart sensors



Digital warning signs (VMS)







# **GeoSence 2021-2024**

- Geofencing strategies for implementation in urban traffic management and planning => GUIDELINE
- Design, trial and evaluate geofencing concepts and solutions for specific cases in cities
  - within the project
  - from other geofencing initiatives
- User acceptance, policies and legislation, governance

**ERA-NET Cofund Urban Accessibility and Connectivity** 

European







### **GeoSence – use cases**





### Gothenburg

Geofencing in public procurement

- Requirements, contracts and compliance
- Tests of low-speed zones to protect vulnerable road users
- Retro-fit of vehicles used for Special Transport
  Services
- Evaluation of driver experience and acceptance

### Stockholm

Unlock the potential of the datasets that enable geofencing applications and other location based services by **reviewing** and **mapping processes and gaps of the existing data flow** of two use cases:

- The city should be a trusted source provider of speed limit data that can be used to geofence the city's own vehicles
- The city should be a trusted source provider of data that can be used to geofence e-scooters for parking and speed



### Munich

Promoting traffic safety by improving parking of e-scooters

- Tests of digital platform to provide local traffic regulations from the city of Munich to the shared mobility providers
- Use of static and flexible (dynamic) geofences
- Before- and after-studies of users, residents and providers to analyse impact and acceptance of geofencing

# **GeoSence – results and findings so far**

Report "<u>Challenges and needs of European cities in</u> using geofencing for urban traffic management"

Technical - "The devil is in the details"

- Vehicle models, calibration of equipment, GPSaccuracy
- Zone setup "design"
- Drivers' experience

Organizational

- Geofencing in procurements
- Include zone management in way-of-working





## Challenges ahead

Eco-system for data exchange in a digitalized transport system

- National access points (NAP)
- Standards and formats
- Data quality
- Continuous collaboration
- User experience
- Displays, consistent speed information
- Policies and legislation
- Data collection GDPR
- Swedish legislation permit and signs
- EU Real Time Traffic Information (RTTI)

Push for changes in policies

# Thank you for your attention!

For questions:

Victoria.herslof@stockholm.se

Malin.stoldt@trafikkontoret.goteborg.se

www.polisnetwork.eu #POLIS2022

### References

- <u>National geofencing program</u>
- Smart Urban Traffic Zones
- <u>GeoSence</u>



Stockholms stad



Göteborgs Stad