

Ricardo Energy & Environment



Guy Hitchcock, Knowledge Leader





Study on improving the understanding of urban accessibility and road congestion in Europe



- Client: DG MOVE
- 2 year study completed and due to be published soon

"The general objective of this EU study is to improve understanding of urban accessibility and road congestion, and support a debate on understanding and improving urban accessibility in order to improve the functioning of urban areas and make the transport system more "resource efficient"

# Study on improving the understanding of urban accessibility and road congestion in Europe





### **Defining accessibility**



'The average opportunity which the residents of the area possess to take part in a particular activity or set of activities' (Wachs & Kumaga, 1973)

'The extent to which the land use-transport system enables (groups of) individuals or goods to reach activities or destinations by means of a (combination of) transport mode(s)' (Geurs & van Eck, 2001)

'the <u>ease</u> in meeting one's needs in locations distributed over space for a subject located in a given area' (Cascetta, Carteni, & Montanino, 2013)

Property of individuals' surroundings (e.g. the transport-land use system) or particular places: "[Accessibility is] the extent to which the land use-transport system enables (groups of) individuals or goods to reach activities or destinations by means of a (combination of) transport mode(s)." (Geurs & van Eck, 2001) 'The **opportunity** which an individual or type of person at a given location possesses to take part in a particular activity or set of activities' (Hansen, 1959)

> 'The consumer surplus, or net benefit, that people achieve from using the transport and land use system' (Leonardi, 1978)

Defining 'Accessibility'

> 'The number and diversity of places that can be reached within a given travel time and/or cost' (Bertolini, Le Clercq, & Kapoen, 2005)

As property of an individual: "Accessibility is a measure of the ease of an individual to pursue an activity of a desired type, at a desired location, by a desired mode, and at a desired time" (Bhat, et al., 2000)

### **Defining accessibility**

• Accessibility differs from mobility:

**Mobility** 

Movement of people and goods





Consideration of opportunities enabled by mobility



A working definition of accessibility is:

"....the ease of reaching goods, services, activities and destinations in urban areas. It includes factors such as mobility options, travel information, transport network connectivity, land use patterns and cost for both passengers and freight."

	Individuale		Desired opportunities /	
	mumuuais	Link between individuals	destinations	
		and desired opportunities/		
		destinations		
R	icardo Energy & Environment in Confidence			© Ricardo



#### The four dimensions of accessibility





### **Measuring Accessibility - Metrics**





## Coverage of dimensions of accessibility by type of accessibility measure



		Component					
		Transport component	Land-use component	Temporal component	Individual component		
	Infrastructure-based measures	Travelling speed; vehicle-hours lost in congestion		Peak-hour period; 24-h period			
ure	Location-based measures	Travel time and or costs between locations of activities	Amount and spatial distribution of the demand for and/or supply of opportunities	Travel time and costs may differ, e.g. between hours of the day, between days of the week, or seasons	Only personal location		
Meas	Person-based measures	Travel time between locations of activities	Amount and spatial distribution of supplied opportunities	Temporal constraints for activities and time available for activities	Accessibility is analysed at individual level		
	Utility-based measures	Travel costs between locations of activities	Amount and spatial distribution of supplied opportunities	Travel time and costs may differ, e.g. between hours of the day, between days of the week, or seasons	Utility is derived at the individual or homogeneous population group level		

#### **Accessibility Metrics – Pros and Cons**



### Location-based

✓ Reasonable easy to collect data

- Easy to understand
- x Limited details of personal dimension

### Infrastructure-based

✓ Simple to measure
✓ Easy to collect data
× Ignores land use
× Limited personal dimension

Accessibility Metrics

### Person-based

Accounts for personal dimension Requires lots of local data collection

## **Utility-based**

Accounts for all aspects Complex to calculate difficult to understand

### **Accessibility indicators for comparing European Cities**



Trade-off between accuracy and ease of implementation/interpretation



#### European-level accessibility indicators

- Location-based most likely candidate
- Simple travel distances/times to opportunities
- Supported by use of types of indicators in comparative accessibility studies
- EPSON TRACC, UK Department for Transport Accessibility Statistics.

### **Barriers to improving accessibility**



Lack of:

- 1. Common definition of accessibility
- 2. Comparable and consistent monitoring data
- 3. Understanding of measures to improve accessibility
- 4. Interpreting accessibility as a main goal
- 5. Understanding of congestion costs
- 6. Financial resources
- 7. Consideration of accessibility in other policy areas

#### Actions at the EU Level



Recommendations / policy actions	Common definition of accessibility	Comparable / consistent data	Understanding of accessibility measures	Interpreting accessibility as main goal of policies	Understanding – congestion costs and links to accessibility	Consideration accessibility in wider policy	Financial resources	
Provide a common understanding and definition of accessibility and its relationships with congestion	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$			€
Develop a set of comparable indicators		$\checkmark$						€€
Promote consistent and comparable data collection to compute indicators		$\checkmark$						€€
Include accessibility improvements as an explicit goal in policy assessment within urban transport policy but also outside transport policy highlighting how accessibility can be promoted by non-transport policy				$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$		€
Support knowledge sharing and best practice between EU cities building on existing urban mobility programmes			$\checkmark$					€€
Contribute to provide cities with the financial resources to implement policies to improve accessibility							$\checkmark$	€€€

Ricardo Energy & Environment in Confidence

### **Key conclusions**



- Accessibility is very complex
  - There are a wide range of definitions
  - It is more than just mobility as it considers the opportunities enabled by mobility



- A greater focus on accessibility rather than just mobility
- Providing a common definition of accessibility that can be used by all cities
- Defining a common measurement metric and support related data collection
- Sharing best practice between cities
- Build support for accessibility into the existing SUMP processes and support systems

### Final report available at: http://ec.europa.eu/transport/themes/urban/studies\_en







### Thank you for your attention

Guy Hitchcock

+44 (0)1235 753327 guy.hitchcock@ricardo.com

ee.ricardo.com